## Climate Futures and Rural Livelihood Adaptation Strategies in Nusa Tenggara Barat Province, Indonesia

Dampak perubahan iklim terhadap mata penghidupan masyarakat di Provinsi Nusa Tenggara Barat, Indonesia

# SURVEY REPORT

## LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION SYSTEMS IN LOMBOK AND SUMBAWA

DAHLANUDDIN (University of Mataram) YUSUF AKHYAR SUTARYONO (University of Mataram) SOFYAN D. HASAN (University of Mataram) MASTUR (University of Mataram)

JUNE 2011



Australia Indonesia Partnership Kemitraan Australia Indonesia











## Table of contents

Table of Contents	i
Summary (in Bahasa Indonesia)	ii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. METHODOLOGY	2
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	2
1. General description of the production systems	2
2. Production systems in Lombok	4
3. Production systems in Sumbawa	10
4. Change from free grazing to cut and carry system	17
IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS	21
REFERENCES	21
ATTACHMENT 1. Ecosystem component and drivers: Sumbawa	22
ATTACHMENT 2. Ecosystem component and drivers: Lombok	25

Page

## **Ringkasan (Summary)**

Sebagian besar kehidupan masyarakat di NTB (pulau Lombok dan Sumbawa) sangat bergantung kepada usaha pertanian. Peran ternak ruminansia sangat besar terutama sebagai tenaga kerja dan sumber pendapatan (tabungan) dalam meningkatkan kesejahteraan masyarakat di NTB.

Dalam melaksanakan usaha peternakan, terdapat perbedaan sistem beternak diantara petani di Pulau Lombok dengan di Sumbawa. Umumnya petani di pulau Lombok memelihara ternaknya dengan cara dikandangkan (intensif), sedangkan ternak-ternak di Sumbawa dipelihara dengan cara dilepas (ekstensif). Perbedaan system pemeliharaan ini berimplikasi terhadap kemampuan peternak dalam penyediaan pakan untuk ternaknya dan hal ini juga mempengaruhi jumlah kepemilikan ternak oleh setiap peternak, dimana kepemilikan ternak di Sumbawa jauh lebih banyak dibanding jumlah kepemilikan ternak oleh petani di pulau Lombok.

Program pembangunan yang dilaksanakan oleh pemerintah dalam membangun sarana irigasi (dam/bendungan) di pulau Sumbawa telah mengubah status penggunaan lahan dari lahan kering menjadi lahan sawah irigasi (intensif), tambak, dan hutan produksi. Perubahan fungsi lahan ini berdampak kepada menyempitnya areal "Lar atau So" yang dulunya merupakan tempat penggembalaan umum, sehingga membatasi jumlah kepemilikan ternak oleh petani.

Menyempitnya areal padang penggembalaan (Lar/So) mengharuskan peternak mengubah system beternak dari ekstensif ke intensif. Pola pemeliharaan system intensif ini telah diterapkan di pulau Lombok, dan ke depan para peternak di Sumbawa harus menerapkan pola pemeliharaan system intensif ini. Permasalahan dari system intensif ini, peternak harus menyediakan pakan (cut and carry) dalam jumlah cukup, sementara kuantitas dan kualitas pakan yang tersedia terbatas.

Mencermati kondisi daerah dan kaitannya dengan program bumi sejuta sapi, maka dalam upaya meningkatkan produksi ternak di NTB diperlukan fasilitasi Pemerintah untuk meningkatkan kemampuan adaptasi peternak dalam menghadapi perubahan kondisi biofisik dan social ekonomi yang semakin cepat.

#### I. Introduction

Ruminant livestock (cattle, buffalo, goats and sheep) play important roles to support livelihood of farmers in NTB. Cattle and buffalo are mostly sold for inter-island export and small number are slaughtered for local consumption. They also used as draught power even though some of their role has been replaced by hand tractors. Goats and sheep mostly slaughtered for local consumption. Horse is important for village transportation. Some people eat horse meat and horse milk from Sumbawa is well known as a health drink.

The importance of livestock is now becoming more apparent because livestock, compared with crops, are less vulnerable to changes in climate conditions such as longer dry season, shift in wet season or long wet season. However, the existence and productivity of ruminant livestock are also affected by changes in land use and socio-economical conditions.

This study was carried out to characterize the current conditions of livestock production systems in Lombok and Sumbawa. Focus of this study was to understand the current conditions, species distribution and richness within different ecological subregions, dynamics, drivers of changes and potential impacts likely to happen. Profiles of the current system will be very useful in understanding farmers ability to adapt to changes in land use, socio-economic and agro-climate condition.

#### II. Methodology

This survey was carried out by collecting secondary data from relevant government offices. Data were also collected from relevant research reports and from expert opinions. Field visits were carried out to selected locations to observe current situations and to discuss with farmers about the past and current conditions of the production systems.

Locations visited were within central Lombok and Sumbawa districts. These districts were selected because they can represent Lombok and Sumbawa islands respectively and they have distinct biophysical and socio-economical conditions. Farmer information were collected both by individual in-depth interviews and focused group discussions.

Data collected include a) population distribution of each species by district and population growth by species during the period of 1969 – 2010, b) existing government policies and their impact on the systems, c) changes in ecological conditions (native pasture production, carrying capacity, soil degradation, weed invasions etc) and d) farmers perceptions on the past and present conditions of the farming systems.

A series of team discussions were then carried out to analyze data/ information gathered and to describe the current livestock production systems in Lombok and Sumbawa.

#### III. Results and discussion

#### 1. General description of the production systems

Lombok has smaller area (only one third of Sumbawa) but has 3 times more people than Sumbawa. However, livestock populations are about the same in the two islands which means that livestock density is much higher in Lombok than in Sumbawa. In the case of cattle population, Lombok has about 55% of the total population.

In Lombok, ruminant livestock (Cattle, buffalo, goats and sheep) are mostly raised in cut and carry system and as a result, livestock ownership is much smaller than in Sumbawa. Most of the productive lands in Lombok are used for crop production, so very little area for extensive livestock production.

In Sumbawa on the other hand, livestock still kept under semi extensive system. Only a small proportion of farmers have started to keep their livestock in pens and fed by cut and carry system.

Figure 1 illustrates the population growth of ruminant livestock in NTB since 1969. Cattle and goat populations increase steadily (even though with significant fluctuation in some periods). Sheep population remains steady, while buffalo population tends to decline.



Figure 1. Population growth of ruminant livestock in NTB during the period of 1969 – 2010. (Dinas Peternakan Dan Kesehatan Hewan NTB, 2010).

Livestock distribution by districts in 2010 is presented in Figure 2. Cattle population is highest in Sumbawa, while goats are dominant in Bima district.



Figure 2. Distribution of livestock by districts in 2010 (Dinas Peternakan dan Kesehatan Hewan NTB, 2010)

In term of relative significance (see Table 1), cattle and goats are the most dominant (and have high potential to be developed further) in west Sumbawa, Sumbawa, Dompu and Bima districts. Goats are significant in West Sumbawa, Dompu and Bima districts. Boffalo and sheep are not significant (LQ <1.0). It is clear that while population of cattle and goats are quite high in Lombok island, the potential for further development is constrained by limited availability of land.

District	Cattle	Buffalo	Goats	Sheep
West Lombok	0.62	0.07	0.30	0.02
Central Lombok	0.78	0.14	0.54	0.00
East Lombok	0.52	0.04	0.41	0.04
West Sumbawa	2.89	0.91	1.15	0.09
Sumbawa	2.62	0.90	0.64	0.02
Dompu	2.42	0.56	1.63	0.01
Bima	1.53	0.61	3.00	0.29
Kota Bima	0.89	0.26	1.03	0.03
Mataram	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.01

Table 1. Location quotient (LQ) of livestock in each district of NTB (LQ based on population per 1000 people).

#### 2. Production systems in Lombok

#### **Species**

Livestock are still an important source of livelihood in Lombok. Cattle and goats are the two species that are much higher in number (greater importance) compared to buffalo and sheep.



Figure 3. Livestock population in Lombok island (Dinas Peternakan dan Kesehatan Hewan NTB, 2010)

In most areas of Lombok, livestock are raised under smallholder cut-and-carry systems. Adoption of better management system has improved productive and reproductive efficiency of cattle under collective system but do not increase cattle number in the group. This because livestock ownership is small (2 large ruminants per family in average) and livestock are mostly used as saving. Farmers are mostly subsistence so any increase in number of cattle weaned always followed by increase in number of sale due to urgent need for cash, limited capacity to feed and limited space to house the animals.

Traditionally, cattle and buffalo are sold to Jakarta market. However, market (especially breeding stock) has been redirected to other provinces (mostly in Kalimantan) because of tight competition in Jakarta market.

Cattle and goat population may continue to increase but may be limited by capacity of farmers to provide sufficient feeds, space for housing and obtain sufficient cash for their daily needs. Buffalo population will continue to decline due to rapid decline in area for grazing and wallowing.

Buffalo used to be an important ruminant species in south Lombok. Since 1980s, tourism has been developing extensively and some of the communal grazing areas are used to build infrastructure (roads and hotels). As seen in Figure 4, space for buffalo is becoming very limited due to conversions of communal grazing area to non agricultural purposes such as international airport and tourist resorts.



Figure 4. Buffalo wallowing outside the fence of the Lombok International Airport in South Lombok

Cattle are still a dominant species in Lombok, but mostly kept in backyard or in a collective pens Figure 5). The main constraint faced by the farmers in this production system is the limited capacity to collect feeds. This is because land ownership is very small and many farmers do not have access to land. As a result, the number of animals that can be fed is limited to 2 -3 per family.



Figure 5. Bali cattle in collective pens.

If no measures are taken to increase farmers capacity to feed and maintain their livestock, number of livestock per household will not increase, even if they have adopted better management system.

#### Land use

Land use in Lombok is more intensive compared to Sumbawa. Very little communal grazing area is available due to higher population density compared to Sumbawa. Livestock are raised in the crop-livestock system.

Most of productive lands used for crop production, so very small area for livestock. Land ownership is very small (about 0.3 Ha per household) and declines in size (especially in the irrigated areas). In central Lombok (Van wensveen et al, 2010), almost 40% cattle growers do not have access to land.



Land ownership is getting smaller due to increase in size of household. Value of land is increasing rapidly, so the costs of feeding livestock becoming very high. The drivers of this change includes, rapid population growth and rapid land conversion to non agricultural purposes (urban development, infrastructure etc). The downstream effects are land available to produce forages becoming very limited and time spent and costs to collect feeds becoming very high.

#### **Collective housing system**

Collective housing is very common in Lombok. In this system, a group of livestock growers house their animals collectively in a common place (typically an area of 100 – 1000 m2) either

all day or only at night. Feeding and managing the animals remain the responsibility of each farmers, but they collectively work to maintain security and sanitation

There are around 800 collective housing units in Lombok (see Figure 6). In general, land used for the collective housing is private land (belong to a family) and the group collectively rent the land (paid in cash or with rice).



Figure 6. Distribution of collective cattle housing system in Lombok (Dahlanuddin et al., 2009)

The sustainability of this collective system is uncertain because land tenure is based on informal agreement between farmer groups and the land owner that can be broken by any cause. The collective pens often located within or very close to settlement (villages). Sanitation of the collective housing system is generally poor that creates problems both to human and livestock

In this collective system, number of livestock that can be managed or owned by a family is limited (1-3 in the case of cattle). Cost of production increases, so livestock production becoming less profitable and motivation to raise livestock may decline as a result.

#### Forages

Forages available for ruminant livestock in Lombok are mainly native grasses (mix of *graminae* and some local legumes). Grasses are collected from rice bunds, road side, irrigation channel,

neglected land. Introduced forages (especially elephant grass and king grass) also available, but limited to farmers who have access to land. In some parts of Lombok, tree legumes are quite abundant, but only sesbania is fed in large amount.

Cattle are kept in pens or tethered in backyard, and fed by cut and carry system due to limited communal grazing area and problems with security. In wet season to early dry season, forages are sufficient, but in late dry season farmers have to rely on crop residues. Landless farmers collect forages from road sides, river banks or irrigation channel for free. They can no longer collect grasses from rice bunds for free (land owner used it or sell it to others). Farmers in dry areas such as south central Lombok (L3) often collect grasses or crop residues by truck from other areas (can be as far as 60 km away).

Improved grasses common in L2, L3, L4 and emerging in other irrigated area of Lombok. Sesbania is the most common tree legumes fed to ruminants. It is concentrated in southern part of the island (L3), not much in other areas. Leucaena exists in L2 and L5, but not yet a common feed for ruminants. Gliricidia is scattered in most area as living fences and not much used to feed livestock.

#### 3. Production systems in Sumbawa

#### **Species**

Livestock reproductive efficiency is generally better than in Lombok due to the extensive rearing system (females can be mated during grazing). Live weight gain is slower than in Lombok because availability and quality of feeds are generally lower.

Population of cattle and goats increase steadily, sheep population remains steady while buffalo population declines slightly. The decline in buffalo population may be related to limited area of wetland for wallowing.





Livestock ownership per household is much lower than 10 years ago. This is related to the limited access to free grazing and reduced carrying capacity of the communal grazing area

The dynamics in livestock population growth in Sumbawa have been influenced by:

- Policy: more resources for cattle (Land of a million cattle) and Government buy and redistribute cattle.
- Market: cattle market is bigger, buffalo and goats less preferable in national market.
- Biophysical conditions: reduced area for forage production, buffalo less adaptable to dry areas (do not have sweat gland, have to wallow).
- Cattle and goats are more adaptable to changing climates

#### Land use

Land ownership is generally larger than in Lombok but number of harvest per year is less due to limited water availability. Most of livestock growers have access to land. In most areas livestock are tethered, some allowed to graze free. Change to intensive crop and livestock system is emerging.



Figure 8. Typical land use in Sumbawa

Land ownership is getting smaller due to increase in size of household. Farmers have started to convert some of dry land and the rain fed areas to be used for livestock production, either in the cut and carry system or mini ranch system. The most important drivers of this change is the rapid decline in area and carrying capacity of communal grazing areas.

#### **Communal grazing area**

Traditionally, livestock are generally raised by semi-extensive system (either grazing full day or grazing day time and housed at night). Livestock are temporarily moved to communal grazing area known as Lar (Sumbawa) or So (Dompu and Bima) when rice field or other cropping area are cultivated. In the past, cropping areas were unused for longer period because irrigation system was not well developed. Therefore, livestock had more opportunity to free graze after harvest. Since the development of dam and irrigation system the intensity of utilization of rice field for food crop production increased so less opportunity for livestock to graze freely after harvest.

Lar or So are communal area that do not entitled to private ownership (state land). They can be native grassland, forest or coastal areas. Lar or So is a very important resource for farmers to produce ruminant livestock at a very low input (low costs)

Currently, the native pastures are heavily degraded due to overgrazing and poor management. The size and carrying capacity of the area have been declining rapidly. As an example, in Sumbawa District, there 60 communal grazing sites (27,783 Ha) identified but they are mostly within protected forest area. No clear rule on which community groups (villages) who can utilise the area, so the potential conflict is high. They are also generally neglected because no one feels responsible to sustain the productivity of these communal grazing areas. Only 5 officially assigned by Bupati decree for communal use i.e.:

- Ai Ampuk (400 Ha), coordinates of borders still not verified. Some people claim as private property and has not been resolved.
- Lar Badi (400 ha), relatively free from conflict
- Pulau Rakit (1500 ha), but conflict regulations by Forestry Department
- Lutuk Kele (200 ha)
- Limung (1000 Ha), not really communal area but private land organised and developed by the local government as a community based livestock production center.

There are some initiatives to overcome the reduced size of communal grazing areas i.e. to facilitate collective use of private lands, to develop silvopasture in collaboration with the forestry department and to develop crop-livestock integration system. However, these initiatives are still limited to very small area (such as Limung, Sumbawa district) and not yet very effective due to many constraints (funding, socio-cultural etc).

#### Livestock migration and pasture conditions: A case study of Pulau Rakit

Farmers move livestock (cattle buffalo and horse) from Empang and Terano subdistricts to a small neighboring island called Pulau Rakit. Livestock are taken to Pulau Rakit around February (when all cropping lands are cultivated for food production), and taken back to the mainland around April – May (when most crops have been harvested).

This practice has been taking place for more than 30 years. The main drivers for this change is the reduced carrying capacity of communal grazing area in the mainland of Sumbawa. This happened in line with intensification of crop production (irrigation development) and conversion of communal grazing area to plantation, coastal fisheries and settlement.



Figure 7. Pulau Rakit, a small island used by farmers in Empang and Terano subdictricts to keep their livestock when cropping lands are cultivated.

Livestock are taken by small boat (on board or just to guide the herd by tethering some of them)



Figure 8. Farmers taking their buffalo from Pulau Rakit back to the mainland after harvest

Legal status of Pulau Rakit is State forest, so the community are not allowed to cultivate. However, farmers have been using the island as temporary grazing area for cattle, buffalo and horse at least since 1970s. The community still utilize the communal areas of Pulau Rakit even though they do not have legal basis for use. Local authority is reluctant to develop the area because of potential conflicts.

The total land area is around 6000 Ha with an estimated carrying capacity of around 0.25 adult cattle per Ha, so total capacity = 1500 adult cattle. Estimated number of livestock on the island can be more than 10,000 at one time (especially during Feb-Mar), so the stocking rate has been much more than its carrying capacity (heavily over grazed).



Figure 9. Conditions of a small island adjacent to Pulau Rakit (upper) never used for grazing because no water and Pulau rakit grazing area (lower).

Figure 9 illustrates the untouched area that could have been similar to the conditions of Pulau Rakit before being used for grazing, and the current conditions of native pasture in Pulau Rakit which is heavily overgrazed and invaded by weeds and trees.

Overgrazing makes the soil open to weed invasions. The major weeds are Chinese apple (*Ziziphus mauritiana*), biduri (*Calotropis gigantea*) and *Chromolina odorata* and some Lantana camara. Weeds and trees may cover the whole island in the next 10 years, so little forages will be available for livestock. Carrying capacity will be dramatically reduced. This will be a big problem because there are about 30,000 heads of cattle, buffalo and horse in nearby area that depend on the communal grazing.

Buffalo are the species that affected most by the change in land use and pasture degradation. Due to their susceptible to heat stress (lack of sweat glands), they have to be near the swamp where they can cool their body (Figure 10).



Figure 11. Buffalo wallowing under tree shed in Pulau Rakit

Cattle are more tolerant to heat but they usually stay under the trees at mid day (Figure 11) and back to the native pasture early afternoon.



Figure 12. A farmer visited Pulau Rakit to observe his cattle

Investment in rehabilitation of communal grazing areas in Pulau Rakit is very difficult, too expensive and socio-culturally not feasible. The most appropriate solution is to facilitate the development of profitable small to medium scale cut and carry systems. A road map should be developed and translated into local government programs to facilitate smooth transition from extensive to intensive system.

#### 4. Change from free grazing to cut and carry

Because Lar or So are rapidly declining in size and carrying capacity, there is a growing trend to change from extensive system to more controlled system. The major drivers for the change of production system in Sumbawa are:

- Pressure to increase food or cash crop production due to rapid population growth.
- Conversions to rice field due to development of dam and irrigation system.
- Conversion to plantations (cashew etc) and dry land cropping area
- Conversion to coastal fisheries
- Extension of settlement or re-settlement
- Conflict of interest between departments (esp. Forestry and Agriculture) that limit improvement and use
- Overgrazing and weed invasions

The changes vary from simply utilizing parts of farmers land (mostly rain-fed rice field or dryland) to keep their livestock ("micro ranch"). This private area used to keep livestock ranges from 1 to 40 Ha (Figure 13). Livestock are kept in this "micro ranch" all year round and farmers collect feeds from outside to meet feed requirements.



Figure 13. A farmer in east Sumbawa use his 2 Ha rain-fed land to keep his 26 cattle.



Figure 14. Change from free grazing in communal grazing area to private land in West Sumbawa district.

Typically, the area used for this purpose ranges from 1 Ha to 5 Ha. With this size of land, the carrying capacity will not be more than 10 adult cattle if they kept their animals in the area all time. Because they do not have enough feed resources their animals will be let out to graze in the surrounding areas after crop harvest.

The more advanced change is by housing the animals at night in pens and fed by cut and carry system. In this system, farmers have started to plant grasses or tree legumes so they can feed more animal per Ha of land. H Ridwan (a farmer in west of Sumbawa district, Figure 14) for example, is able to keep 20 cattle in his 3.5 Ha dry land. Hi has 0.50 Ha planted king grass and sesbania for feeding his cattle when cropping land in surrounding areas are used for planting crops. After harvest, he tethers his cattle around but still house them at night.



Figure 14. A family in western part of Sumbawa district housed their cattle at night and plant sesbania as the supplement to king grass.

A more intensive system was found in Rhee sub district of Sumbawa (Figure 15) where a Balinese family with 2 Ha of planted leucaena are able to fatten more than 10 bulls at any time. This family also collect leucaena at roadsides by a small truck.



Figure 15. Nyoman Kembang and his family in Sumbawa feed mostly leucaena for fattening cattle

#### **IV. Concluding remarks**

The different biophysical, demographic and socio-economical conditions of Lombok and Sumbawa result in a distinct livestock production system. In general, Sumbawa island still have some space for semi-extensive production system (free or controlled grazing), which is low cost but less productive, while in Lombok, most of land areas are used for crop production, therefore livestock are raised under cut and carry system.

Land available for extensive livestock production has been declining rapidly due to rapid increase in demands for food crops (area for food crop production expanded or crop production becoming more intensive) and land conversion from native pasture to plantation, fisheries or non agricultural purposes. Communal grazing areas are heavily overgrazed that dramatically reduces the carrying capacity of the native pastures (due to soil degradation and weed invasions). As a result, many farmers who used to have large herd or flock have been forced to reduce their livestock ownership.

Some farmers have evolved successfully into productive cut and carry system. However, many farmers have been poorly prepared to change from free grazing to cut and carry system, resulting in sudden decline in their livestock ownership. Under the cut and carry system farmers are constrained by limited availability of feed resources. In many areas, grasses are difficult to grow due to lack of moisture, so tree legumes (*Leucaena, Sesbania* and *Gliricidia*) are the most promising livestock feeds especially in dry areas.

If farmers' capacity in responding to changes of the ecosystem and socio economic conditions do not improve, livestock population may decline in the near future. Adaptation strategies should therefore be developed by adopting best-bet options from relevant research activities, empirical experience from similar conditions and utilizing the local wisdoms.

#### References

Dahlanuddin, Hermansyah and Happy Poerwoto (2009). Bali cattle production systems in the collective housing system on Lombok. *Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Peternakan* Vol 2 (2): 502-510

Dinas Peternakan dan Kesehatan Hewan NTB. Laporan Tahunan 2010.

BPS (2010). NTB Dalam Angka 2010.

Van Wensveen, M., Dahlanuddin and K. Puspadi (2010). Scaling up herd management strategies in crop-livestock systems in Lombok, Indonesia. Research project report. ACIAR.

## Attachment 1. Ecosystem components and drivers: livestock systems

#### SUMBAWA

Component	General description	Current Status	Spatial	Dynamics (range,		Outputs (ecosystem	Projections	Data available	Information gaps
			Distribution	max, min,	Drivers/threats	services)	2030, 2050,	(Dataset, location,	(research)
				seasonality)			2100	name)	
Communal	Traditionally, livestock	Lar or So are communal area that	Large communal	-5	Pressure to increase	Production and		Number of sites	Number of sites and area
grazing area	are generally raised by	do not entitled to private	grazing sites (200		food or cash crop	quality of native		and area of	of communal grazing
	semi-extensive system	ownership (state land). They can be	to more than		production due to	pasture is declining		communal grazing	areas in Bima and
	(either grazing full day or	native grassland, forest or coastal	5000 Ha) are		rapid population	rapidly. The carrying		areas in sumbawa	Dompu
	grazing day time and	areas.	distributed within		growth:	capacity of the		districts (Dinas	Current status of the
	housed at night).	Lar or So is a very important	S4 and S6 sub		Conversions to rice	communal grazing		Peternakan	communal grazing area
	Livestock are	resource for farmers to produce	regions		field due to	area is currently		Kabupaten	(availability for common
	temporarily moved to	ruminant livestock at a very low	Some small sites		development of dam	much lower than in		Sumbawa)	use, carrying capacity,
	communal grazing area	input (low costs)	are found in S2,		and irrigation system	the past		General conditions	potential conflict
	known as Lar (Sumbawa)	Heavily degraded due to	S3, S7 and S8		Conversion to	Livestock growers are		of the grazing area.	between community/
	or So (Dompu and Bima)	overgrazing and poor management			plantations (cashew	experiencing difficulty			groups etc)
	when rice field or other	The size and carrying capacity			etc) and dry land	to maintain their			Impacts of native
	cropping area are	declines rapidly			cropping area	livestock during wet			pasture degradation (or
	cultivated. In the past,	The community still utilise the			Conversion to	season before crop			extinction) on livelihood
	cropping area were	communal areas such as Pulau			coastal fisheries	harvesting			of livestock growers,
	unused for longer period	Rakit even though they do not have			Extension of	Dramatic change			especially in the S4
	because irrigation	legal basis for use. Local			settlement or re-	from low cost			regions
	system was not well	government are reluctant to			settlement	extensive system to			What adaptation
	developed. Livestock had	develop the area because of			Conflict between	high cost intensive			strategies are needed to
	more opportunity to free	potential conflicts.			departments (esp.	system will force "rich			sustain livestock
	graze after harvest. Since	There are some initiatives to			Forestry and	farmers" to sell their			production in Sumbawa
	the development of dam	overcome the reduced size of			Agriculture) that	cattle due to difficulty			as a response to change
	and irrigation system the	communal grazing areas a)			limit improvement	in feeding			in biophysical and socio-
	intensity of utilization of	facilitate collective use of private			and use	If this trend			economical conditions
	rice field for food crop	lands, b) Develop silvopasture in			Overgrazing and	continues, and no			
	production increased so	collaboration with the forestry			weed invasions	strategic measures			
	less opportunity for	department and c) Develop crop-			Security from thieves	are taken, livestock			
	livestock to graze freely	livestock integration system.				population may			
	after harvest	However, these initiatives are still				decline			
		limited to very small area (such as							
		Limung, Sumbawa district) and not							
		yet very effective due to many							
		constraints (funding, socio-cultural							
		etc).							

Component	General description	Current Status	Spatial	Dynamics (range,		Outputs (ecosystem	Projections	Data available	Information gaps
			Distribution	max, min,	Drivers/threats	services)	2030, 2050,	(Dataset, location,	(research)
				seasonality)	,	,	2100	name)	``````````````````````````````````````
Sepcies	All large livestock species	Livestock reproductive efficiency is	Cattle: In all sub	Livestock	Policy: more		Bali cattle will	Population data by	Density and productivity
	are important for	generally better than in Lombok	regions except S1	ownership per	resources for cattle		continue to	districts from Dinas	of each species in each
	different nurnoses	due to the extensive rearing system	S5 and S10	household is	(Land of a million		increase	Peternakan offices	ecological subregion
	Cattle and buffalo are	(females can be mated during	Buffalo: Mostly in	much lower than	(attle) Government		steadily	r eternakan onnees	
	mostly sold for inter-	grazing)	S4 and S6	10 years ago. This	huv and redistribute		Buffalo		
	island export and small	live weight gain is slower than in	Goats: Scattered	is related to the	cattle		nonulation will		
	number are slaughtered	Lombok because availability and	Sheen: Scattered	limited access to	Market: cattle		decline		
	for local consumption	quality of feeds are generally lower	Horse: S4	free grazing and	market is higger		hecause they		
	They also used as	quality of recus are generally lower	10130. 54	reduced carrying	huffalo and goats		are not		
	draught nower even			canacity of the	less preferable in		adaptable to		
	though some of their				national market		hot and dry		
	role has been replaced				Biophysical		climate and		
	by hand tractors			Bopulation of	conditions:		area for		
	Costs and shoon mostly			cattle and goats	Poducod area for		wallowing is		
	slaughtered for local			incrosso stoodily	forage production		doclining		
	saughtered for local			shoon nonulation	huffalo loss		rapidly		
	Horse is important for			romains stoady	adaptable to dry		Goat and		
	village transportation			while huffele	auaptable to ury		shoon		
	Some needle est horse				areas (up not nave		sneep population will		
	some people eat noise			doclings clightly	sweat gianu, nave to		population will		
	from Sumbawa is well			declines slightly	Cattle and goats are		domands		
	known as a bealth drink				Callie and goals are		increase		
	KIIOWII as a fleatth utilik				changing climatos		Communal		
					changing chinates		communal grazing area		
							grazing area		
							will extinct,		
							replaced by		
							small tethering		
							area owned by		
							Individual		
							idrmers		
							Number of		
							animals that		
							can be		
							managed by a		
							family will		
							aecline		
							significantly		
							(slows		
							population		
							growth?)		
							Cut and carry		
							system will		
							emerge in		

Component	General description	Current Status	Spatial	Dynamics (range,		Outputs (ecosystem	Projections	Data available	Information gaps
			Distribution	max, min,	Drivers/threats	services)	2030, 2050,	(Dataset, location,	(research)
				seasonality)			2100	name)	
Land use	Land ownership is	Most of livestock growers have	Extensive	Land ownership is	Rapid decline in area		Some of the	Land use by district	Adaptation capacity of
	generally larger than in	access to land	livestock	getting smaller	and carrying capacity		cropping areas	from the NTB in	livestock growers to
	Lombok but number of	In most areas livestock are	production	due to increase in	of communal grazing		will be used to	Figures (statistics	change from extensive
	harvest per year is less	tethered, some allowed to graze	system in all	size of household	areas		plant forages	offices)	system to intensive
	due to limited water	free	subregions	Farmers have	Increasing demands		Greater		system (including change
	availability	Change to intensive crop and		started to convert	of beef		competition		in land use) and the
		livestock system is emerging	Cut and carry	some of dry land			on land use		change in their
			system emerging	and the rain fed			between crop		livelihood
			especially in S2,	areas to be used			production		
			S4 and S8	for livestock			and forage		
				production, either			production for		
				in the cut and			cut and carry		
				carry system or			livestock		
				mini ranch system			production		

## Attachment 2. Ecosystem components and drivers: livestock systems

LOMBOK									
Component	General description	Current Status	Spatial Distribution	Dynamics (range, max, min, seasonality)	Drivers/threats	Outputs (ecosystem services)	Projections 2030, 2050, 2100	Data available (Dataset, location, name)	Information gaps (research)
Land use	Land use in Lombok is more intensive compared to Sumbawa Very little communal grazing area is available due to higher population density compared to Sumbawa. Livestock are raised in the crop- livestock system	Most of productive lands used for crop production, very small area for livestock. Land ownership is very small (about 0.3 Ha per household) and declines in size (especially in the irrigated areas) Almost 40% cattle growers do not have access to land In most areas of Lombok, livestock are raised under smallholder cut-and-carry systems.	In the irrigated area (around the "belt" of Lombok, L4), lands are mostly used for growing rice and second crops. In drier areas, more livestock are raised (L2, L3 and L5)	Land ownership is getting smaller due to increase in size of household Value of land is increasing rapidly, so the costs of feeding livestock becoming very high	Rapid population growth Rapid land conversion to non agricultural purposes (urban development, infrastructure etc)	Land available to produce forages becoming very limited Time spent and costs to collect feeds becoming very high		Land use by district (BPS)	Types of forages most suitable under intensive farming systems in different subregions of Lombok

Component	General description	Current Status	Spatial Distribution	Dynamics (range,	Drivers/threats	Outputs	Projections 2030,	Data available	Information gaps
				max, min,		(ecosystem	2050, 2100	(Dataset, location,	(research)
				seasonality)		services)		name)	
Collective	Collective housing is very	There are around 800	Scattered throughout	The sustainability of	Security conditions	Number of		Number, distribution	Effective pathway to
housing	common in Lombok. In	collective housing units	the island but more	this system is	force the farmers to	livestock that can		and purpose of	scale out and scale
system	this system, a group of	in Lombok	concentrated in L4	uncertain because	collective systems	be managed or		collective housing	up the strategies to
	livestock growers house	In general, land used for		land tenure is based	Policy that regulates	owned by a family		system by district	improve livestock
	their animals collectively	the collective housing is		on informal	long term tenure	is limited (1-3 in		(survey results,	productivity (and
	in a common place	private land (belong to a		agreement between	between the farmer	the case of cattle)		ACIAR)	farmer income)
	(typically an area of 100	family) and the group		farmer groups and	groups and the land	Cost of production		Strategies to improve	based on empirical
	– 1000 m2) either all day	collectively rent the land		the land owner that	owner is not yet	increases, so		productivity of cattle	experience in
	or only at night.	(paid in cash or with		can be broken by any	effective	livestock		under collective	central Lombok
	Feeding and managing	rice).		cause		production		housing system /	
	the animals remain the			The collective pens		becoming less		empirical experience	
	responsibility of each			often located within		profitable and		in 36 farmer groups	
	farmers, but they			or very close to		motivation to raise		in central Lombok	
	collectively work to			settlement (villages)		livestock may		(research report,	
	maintain security and			Sanitation of the		decline as a result		ACIAR)	
	sanitation			collective housing				Policy (Bupati decree	
				system is generally				on collective housing	
				poor that creates				system) available for	
				problems both to				central Lombok	
				human and livestock					

Component	General description	Current Status	Spatial Distribution	Dynamics (range,	Drivers/threats	Outputs	Projections 2030,	Data available	Information gaps
				max, min,		(ecosystem	2050, 2100	(Dataset, location,	(research)
				seasonality)		services)		name)	
Forages	Forages available for	Cattle are kept in pens or	Improved grasses	Feeds generally	Increase in food	Reduced capacity		Benchmarking data	Profitable feeding
	ruminant livestock in	tethered in backyard,	common in L2, L3, L4	scarce in dry season.	demands	of a farmer to		of cattle farming	systems for
	Lombok are mainly	and fed by cut and carry	and emerging in other	Farmers in dry areas	Expansion of	provide sufficient		system, adoption of	ruminants under
	native grasses (mix of	system due to limited	irrigated area of	such as south central	housing and	quantity and		introduced forages,	intensive farming
	graminae and some local	communal grazing area	Lombok.	Lombok (L3) often	infrastructure	quality of feeds to		use of existing	systems in each sub
	legumes)	and problems with	Sesbania is the most	collect grasses or		their livestock		forages etc in 36	regions of Lombok
	Grasses are collected	security	common tree legumes	crop residues by		Number of		farmer groups in	Adaptation of the
	from rice bunds, road	In wet season to early	fed to ruminants. It is	truck from other		livestock that can		central Lombok	landless farmers to
	side, irrigation channel,	dry season, forages are	concentrated in L3,	areas (can be as far		be fed by a family		(ACIAR research	this changing
	neglected land	sufficient, but in late dry	not much in other	as 60 km away)		is much smaller		report)	biophysical and
	Introduced forages	season farmers have to	areas			than in the past.			socio-economical
	(especially elephant	rely on crop residues	Leucaena:			Livestock			conditions
	grass and king grass) also	Landless farmers collect	concentrated in L2, L5,			population and			
	available, but limited to	forages from road sides,	but not yet a common			productivity may			
	farmers who have access	river banks or irrigation	feed for ruminants			decline			
	to land	channel for free. They	Gliricidia: scattered in						
	In some parts of	can no longer collect	most area as living						
	Lombok, tree legumes	grasses from rice bunds	fences and not much						
	are quite abundant, but	for free (land owner	used to feed livestock						
	only sesbania is fed in	used it or sell it to							
	large amount	others)							

Component	General description	Current Status	Spatial Distribution	Dynamics (range, max, min,	Drivers/threats	Outputs (ecosystem	Projections 2030, 2050, 2100	Data available (Dataset, location,	Information gaps (research)
				seasonality)		services)		name)	
Species	Livestock are still an	Livestock are kept in a	Cattle and goats exist	Buffalo used to be	Farmers are mostly	. If no measures	Cattle and goat	Productivity and	Best-bet scenario
	important source of	smallholder system with	in all subregions	the most popular	subsistence so any	are taken to	population will	population dynamics	for the subsistence
	livelihood in Lombok	very small ownership (1	(except in L1 and L7).	livestock but since	increase in number	increase farmers	continue to	of Bali cattle in 36	farmers (more
	Cattle and goats are the	to 3 animals per family).	Buffalo are limited to	the introduction of	of cattle weaned	capacity to feed	increase but may	farmer groups in	importantly the
	two species that are	Livestock are fed by cut	L3. Sheep are in small	cattle in the 1960s,	always followed by	and maintain their	be limited by	central Lombok	landless ones) to at
	much higher in number	and carry or by limited	number, mostly in	buffalo are	increase in number	livestock, number	capacity of	(ACIAR research	least maintain their
	(importance) compared	tethering in the cropping	drier area of east	considered less	of sale due to	of livestock per	farmers to	report)	livestock number
	to buffalo and sheep	area or in the road side.	Lombok (eastern part	important.	urgent need for	household will not	provide sufficient	Beef supply chain	and productivity
	Livestock are mostly		of L4)	Population of buffalo	cash, limited	increase, even if	feeds, space for	(Deblitz et al, 2010,	when resources are
	used as saving			tend to decline while	capacity to feed and	they have adopted	housing and	ACIAR report)	depleting
				population of cattle	limited space to	better	obtain sufficient		
				(and goats) increase	house the animals	management	cash for their		
				steadily Adoption of	Increased costs of	system	daily needs.		
				better management	production and		Buffalo		
				system has improved	transportation to		population will		
				productive and	Jakarta or west Java		continue to		
				reproductive	so local livestock		decline due to		
				efficiency of cattle	(especially cattle)		rapid decline in		
				under collective	less competitive		area for grazing		
				system but do not	than imported		and wallowing		
				increase cattle					
				number in the group					
				Market for cattle					
				(especially breeding					
				stock) shift to other					
				provinces (mostly in					
				Kalimantan)					

### CONTACTS:

Professor Yusuf Sutaryono University of Mataram Email: ysf\_25@yahoo.com Mobile: (+62) 0818369007

Dr. James Butler CSIRO Climate Adaptation Flagship Email: James.Butler@csiro.au Mobile: (+61) 0437030120

#### FURTHER INFORMATION:

http://www.ausaid.gov.au/hottopics/topic.cfm?l D=2707 8209 4232 9569 1218

http://www.csiro.au/multimedia/Indonesia-And-Climate-Change

http://www.csiro.au/news/Improvedclimatechange-projections-SE-Asia

http://www.rfdalliance.com.au/site

## **Table of contents**

#### **Table of Contents** i Summary (in Bahasa Indonesia) ii I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1 II. METHODOLOGY ..... 2 III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS ..... 2 1. General description of the production systems ..... 2 2. Production systems in Lombok ..... 4 3. Production systems in Sumbawa ..... 10 4. Change from free grazing to cut and carry system ..... 17 IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS ..... 21 REFERENCES ..... 21 ATTACHMENT 1. Ecosystem component and drivers: Sumbawa ..... 22 ATTACHMENT 2. Ecosystem component and drivers: Lombok ..... 25

Page

## **Ringkasan (Summary)**

Sebagian besar kehidupan masyarakat di NTB (pulau Lombok dan Sumbawa) sangat bergantung kepada usaha pertanian. Peran ternak ruminansia sangat besar terutama sebagai tenaga kerja dan sumber pendapatan (tabungan) dalam meningkatkan kesejahteraan masyarakat di NTB.

Dalam melaksanakan usaha peternakan, terdapat perbedaan sistem beternak diantara petani di Pulau Lombok dengan di Sumbawa. Umumnya petani di pulau Lombok memelihara ternaknya dengan cara dikandangkan (intensif), sedangkan ternak-ternak di Sumbawa dipelihara dengan cara dilepas (ekstensif). Perbedaan system pemeliharaan ini berimplikasi terhadap kemampuan peternak dalam penyediaan pakan untuk ternaknya dan hal ini juga mempengaruhi jumlah kepemilikan ternak oleh setiap peternak, dimana kepemilikan ternak di Sumbawa jauh lebih banyak dibanding jumlah kepemilikan ternak oleh petani di pulau Lombok.

Program pembangunan yang dilaksanakan oleh pemerintah dalam membangun sarana irigasi (dam/bendungan) di pulau Sumbawa telah mengubah status penggunaan lahan dari lahan kering menjadi lahan sawah irigasi (intensif), tambak, dan hutan produksi. Perubahan fungsi lahan ini berdampak kepada menyempitnya areal "Lar atau So" yang dulunya merupakan tempat penggembalaan umum, sehingga membatasi jumlah kepemilikan ternak oleh petani.

Menyempitnya areal padang penggembalaan (Lar/So) mengharuskan peternak mengubah system beternak dari ekstensif ke intensif. Pola pemeliharaan system intensif ini telah diterapkan di pulau Lombok, dan ke depan para peternak di Sumbawa harus menerapkan pola pemeliharaan system intensif ini. Permasalahan dari system intensif ini, peternak harus menyediakan pakan (cut and carry) dalam jumlah cukup, sementara kuantitas dan kualitas pakan yang tersedia terbatas.

Mencermati kondisi daerah dan kaitannya dengan program bumi sejuta sapi, maka dalam upaya meningkatkan produksi ternak di NTB diperlukan fasilitasi Pemerintah untuk meningkatkan kemampuan adaptasi peternak dalam menghadapi perubahan kondisi biofisik dan social ekonomi yang semakin cepat.

#### Introduction

Ruminant livestock (cattle, buffalo, goats and sheep) play important roles to support livelihood of farmers in NTB. Cattle and buffalo are mostly sold for inter-island export and small number are slaughtered for local consumption. They also used as draught power even though some of their role has been replaced by hand tractors. Goats and sheep mostly slaughtered for local consumption. Horse is important for village transportation. Some people eat horse meat and horse milk from Sumbawa is well known as a health drink.

The importance of livestock is now becoming more apparent because livestock, compared with crops, are less vulnerable to changes in climate conditions such as longer dry season, shift in wet season or long wet season. However, the existence and productivity of ruminant livestock are also affected by changes in land use and socio-economical conditions.

This study was carried out to characterize the current conditions of livestock production systems in Lombok and Sumbawa. Focus of this study was to understand the current conditions, species distribution and richness within different ecological subregions, dynamics, drivers of changes and potential impacts likely to happen. Profiles of the current system will be very useful in understanding farmers ability to adapt to changes in land use, socio-economic and agro-climate condition.

#### I. Methodology

This survey was carried out by collecting secondary data from relevant government offices. Data were also collected from relevant research reports and from expert opinions. Field visits were carried out to selected locations to observe current situations and to discuss with farmers about the past and current conditions of the production systems.

Locations visited were within central Lombok and Sumbawa districts. These districts were selected because they can represent Lombok and Sumbawa islands respectively and they have distinct biophysical and socio-economical conditions. Farmer information were collected both by individual in-depth interviews and focused group discussions.

Data collected include a) population distribution of each species by district and population growth by species during the period of 1969 – 2010, b) existing government policies and their impact on the systems, c) changes in ecological conditions (native pasture production, carrying capacity, soil degradation, weed invasions etc) and d) farmers perceptions on the past and present conditions of the farming systems.

A series of team discussions were then carried out to analyze data/ information gathered and to describe the current livestock production systems in Lombok and Sumbawa.

#### II. Results and discussion

#### 1. General description of the production systems

Lombok has smaller area (only one third of Sumbawa) but has 3 times more people than Sumbawa. However, livestock populations are about the same in the two islands which means that livestock density is much higher in Lombok than in Sumbawa. In the case of cattle population, Lombok has about 55% of the total population.

In Lombok, ruminant livestock (Cattle, buffalo, goats and sheep) are mostly raised in cut and carry system and as a result, livestock ownership is much smaller than in Sumbawa. Most of the productive lands in Lombok are used for crop production, so very little area for extensive livestock production.

In Sumbawa on the other hand, livestock still kept under semi extensive system. Only a small proportion of farmers have started to keep their livestock in pens and fed by cut and carry system.

Figure 1 illustrates the population growth of ruminant livestock in NTB since 1969. Cattle and goat populations increase steadily (even though with significant fluctuation in some periods). Sheep population remains steady, while buffalo population tends to decline.



Figure 1. Population growth of ruminant livestock in NTB during the period of 1969 – 2010. (Dinas Peternakan Dan Kesehatan Hewan NTB, 2010). Livestock distribution by districts in 2010 is presented in Figure 2. Cattle population is highest in Sumbawa, while goats are dominant in Bima district.



Figure 2. Distribution of livestock by districts in 2010 (Dinas Peternakan dan Kesehatan Hewan NTB, 2010)

In term of relative significance (see Table 1), cattle and goats are the most dominant (and have high potential to be developed further) in west Sumbawa, Sumbawa, Dompu and Bima districts. Goats are significant in West Sumbawa, Dompu and Bima districts. Boffalo and sheep are not significant (LQ <1.0). It is clear that while population of cattle and goats are quite high in Lombok island, the potential for further development is constrained by limited availability of land.

District	Cattle	Buffalo	Goats	Sheep	
West Lombok	0.62	0.07	0.30	0.02	
Central Lombok	0.78	0.14	0.54	0.00	
East Lombok	0.52	0.04	0.41	0.04	
West Sumbawa	2.89	0.91	1.15	0.09	
Sumbawa	2.62	0.90	0.64	0.02	
Dompu	2.42	0.56	1.63	0.01	
Bima	1.53	0.61	3.00	0.29	
Kota Bima	0.89	0.26	1.03	0.03	
Mataram	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.01	

Table 1. Location quotient (LQ) of livestock in each district of NTB (LQ based on population per 1000 people).